Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

POWELL GOLDSTEIN LLP ONE ATLANTIC CENTER FOURTEENTH FLOOR 1201 WEST PEACHTREE STREET NW ATLANTA GA 30309-3488

In re Application of

HARDER et al. : DECISION ON

Application No.: 10/597,099

PCT No.: PCT/EP2005/001167 : PAPERS

Int. Filing Date: 04 February 2005

Priority Date: 06 February 2004 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.42

Attorney's Docket No.: 149459.00003

For: IMPLANT FOR RELEASING AN ACTIVE : SUBSTANCE INTO A VESSEL THROUGH WHICH :

A BODY MEDIUM FLOWS

This is a decision on the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.42 filed by applicants on 26 April 2007.

BACKGROUND

On 04 February 2005, applicants filed international application PCT/EP2005/001167 which claimed a priority date of 06 February 2004 and designated the United States. A copy of the international application was communicated to the United States Patent and Trademark Office from the International Bureau on 18 August 2005. The thirty-month period for paying the basic national fee in the United States expired at midnight on 07 August 2006 (06 August 2006 being a Sunday).

On 11 July 2006, applicants filed for entry into the national stage in the United States accompanied by, *inter alia*, the basic national fee, a substitute specification, a marked-up copy of the substitute specification, and a declaration of inventors. The indication in this declaration that inventor Bernd Hueblen is deceased was treated as a request for status under 37 CFR 1.42.

On 29 November 2006, applicants filed a "PETITION FOR CORRECTION OF INVENTORSHIP OF PATENT APPLICATION UNDER 35 U.S.C. 116 and 37 CFR 1.48(a)", which was properly treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.497(d). The submission was also accompanied by a declaration of inventors. The indication in this declaration that inventor Bernd Hueblen is deceased was also treated as a request for status under 37 CFR 1.42.

On 02 April 2007, a decision was mailed refusing applicants' petition under 37 CFR decision was mailed refusing applicants' petition under 37 CFR decision was mailed refusing applicants' petition under 37 CFR decision was mailed refusing applicants' petition under 37 CFR decision was mailed refusing applicants' petition under 37 CFR decision was mailed refusing applicants' petition under 37 CFR decision was mailed refusing applicants' petition under 37 CFR decision was mailed refusing applicants' petition under 37 CFR decision was mailed refusing applicants' petition under 37 CFR decision was mailed refusing applicants' petition under 37 CFR decision was mailed refusing applicants' petition under 37 CFR decision was mailed refusing applicants' petition under 37 CFR decision was mailed refusing applicants' petition under 37 CFR decision was mailed refusing applicants' petition under 37 CFR decision was mailed refusing applicants' petition under 37 CFR decision was mailed refusing applicants and decision was mailed refusing a proper applicants and decision was mat

DOCKETED SOUT OND MAY 1 5 2007

Powell Goldstein LLP

-2-

the deceased inventor. The petition under 37 CFR 1.497(d) was dismissed as moot. The decision also indicated that the declaration filed 29 November 2006 was an improper composite declaration and that an English translation of the international application as filed had not yet been provided.

On 26 April 2007, the instant submission was filed which includes, *inter alia*, a declaration of inventors, an English translation of the international application as filed, and the processing fee under 37 CFR 1.492(i) for providing the translation later than thirty months from the priority date.

DISCUSSION

MPEP § 602.01 states that "the wording of an oath or declaration cannot be amended, altered or changed in any manner after it has been signed." Here, the declaration of inventors filed 26 April 2007 was amended to include the residence, mailing address, and citizenship of deceased inventor Bernd Heublein. Accordingly, the declaration is improper.

CONCLUSION

For the above reasons, the request for status under 37 CFR 1.42 is **REFUSED**.

A proper response must be filed within a time limit of ONE MONTH from the date of this decision or within the time remaining in the response set forth in the decision mailed 02 April 2007, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit may be granted under 37 CFR 1.136, but the period for response set in the decision mailed 02 April 2007 may be extended under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Please direct further correspondence with respect to this matter to Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents, Office of PCT Legal Administration, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, with the contents of the letter marked to the attention of the Office of PCT Legal Administration.

Daniel Stemmer
Legal Examiner
PCT Legal Affairs
Office of Patent Cooperation Treaty
Legal Administration

Telephone: (571) 272-3301 5Facsimile: (571) 273-0459